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Big Picture: Empirical Applications of Ricardian Model

- Nice paper!
- Contributes to the Post-Eaton and Kortum (2002) surge in empirical applications of Dave's theory
- Dave:
Summary

Key Result:

- Estimate elasticity of trade $\theta$ to be 4.22
- Eaton and Kortum (2002) estimate $\theta$ to be between 7.5 and 9.5

Important since $\theta$ critical for understanding:

- Size of frictions to trade
- Welfare gains and losses to trade
- Response of trade to changes in barriers like tariffs
Summary

Analysis of estimation approach in Eaton and Kortum (2002)

New estimation approach, simulated method of moments

Applied to both Eaton and Kortum (2002) data and new data

- $\theta_{EK\text{data}} = 3.93$
- $\theta_{SW\text{data}} = 4.22$

which is lower than Eaton and Kortum (2002) and provides evidence for $\theta$ not varying much with development
Range of $\theta$

Simonovska and Waugh (2010)’s $\theta$ one among many but still within range of others:

- Donaldson (2009): 3.8 to 5.2
- Ruhl (2004): 4 to 15
Two concerns:

- Price heading data
- Estimate of $\theta$ related to model

The Elasticity of Trade: Estimates and Evidence II... $\theta$??
Fig. 1.—Manufacturing export share of GDP and manufacturing tariff rates. Source: World Trade Organization (2002) and author's calculations (see App. A and Sec. V).
Needed: A Theory of $\tau$

- Simonovska and Waugh (2010) reinforces need for micro-founded models capable of reconciling movements like growth in U.S. trade

- Tariffs won’t do it

- Opening the black box...Time?? Alessandria, Kaboski, Midrigan (2009), Dalton (2009), Hummels (2001)